

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,264	04/24/2000	Glen Kazumi Okita	02365.P0015	8596
21186	21186 7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOL	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/557,264	OKITA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Greg Cunningham	2676			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine departent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 D	ecember 2004.				
		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
					Disposit	ion of Claims
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
_	6) Claim(s) 27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
7)						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 February 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		The state of the s			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document		-(d) or (f).			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau		a in the National Stage			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
Attachment						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,			

Application/Control Number: 09/557,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications of application filed 12/20/2004.
- 2. The disposition of the claims is as follows: claim 27 is pending in the application. Claims 1-26 were cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lewis, (US Patent Publication 20020065752 A1), and further in view of Strope et al., (US Patent 5,129,057), hereinafter Strope.
- A. Lewis discloses claim 27, "A method of displaying information relating to a workflow driven by a computer system comprising: executing the workflow, the workflow implementing business rules [para. 0033, 0070, 0075, 0081 and claim 7]; calculating execution statistics relating to the execution of the workflow on the computer system [para. 0096, 0101, 0102]; displaying a workflow diagram on a display screen associated with the computer system [para. 0132 0135, 0138, 0143, 0147, 0151, 0154 and claim 7]; and overlaying the execution statistics on the workflow diagram [para. 0069], wherein the execution statistics are for various steps displayed in the workflow diagram [para. 0016, 0072, 0095, 0096, 0098 and claim 7], and

Application/Control Number: 09/557,264

Art Unit: 2676

wherein the execution statistics are selectively displayed by positioning a pointer over the display" as [detailed]. However Lewis does not appear to disclose "wherein the execution statistics are selectively displayed by positioning a pointer over the display", but Strope does in [col. 5, lns. 1-14 and lns. 56-61; col. 7, lns. 43-45].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply an integrated financial data reporting system provides for real time data entry, assessment, and report generation disclosed by Lewis in combination with selective displaying via mouse pointer positioning disclosed by Strope, and motivated to combine the teachings because it would allow a user to view the event directly as revealed in col. 5, lines 56-61.

Response to Arguments

5. In congruence with previous advisory action an updated search has revealed lack of novelty as indicated supra.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 27 has been considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Responses

6. Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 872-9306 may be used for formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2676

Inquiries

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Cunningham whose telephone number is (703) 308-6109.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached on (703) 308-6829.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

gfc

February 11, 2005

J. F. Cuming ham

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (Bella